arXiv:1302.0705v1 [math.AG] 4 Feb 2013

UNOBSTRUCTEDNESS OF DEFORMATIONS OF WEAK FANO MANIFOLDS

TARO SANO

Dedicated to Professor Miles Reid on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Abstract. We prove that a weak Fano manifold has unobstructed deformations. We also investigate when a variety has an obstructed deformation.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Proof of theorem 2
3. Surface case 6
Acknowledgment 6
References 6

1. Introduction

We consider algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

The Kuranishi space of a smooth projective variety has bad singularities in general. Even in the surface case, Vakil [14] exhibited several examples of smooth projective surfaces of general type with arbitrarily singular Kuranishi spaces.

On the other hand, in some nice situations, the Kuranishi space is smooth. A famous result is that the Kuranishi space of a Calabi-Yau manifold is smooth. The Kuranishi space of a Fano manifold X is also smooth since $H^2(X, \Theta_X) = 0$ by the Kodaira–Nakano vanishing theorem, where Θ_X is the tangent sheaf of X.

In this paper, we seek several nice projective manifolds with smooth Kuranishi space.

A smooth projective variety X is called *weak Fano* if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is nef and big. The following is a main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Deformations of a weak Fano manifold are unobstructed.*

Previously, Ran proved the unobstructedness when a weak Fano manifold has a smooth anticanonical element ([11] Corollary 3). Minagawa's argument in [9] implies the unobstructedness when $|-2K_X|$ contains a smooth element. However these assumptions are not satisfied for a general weak Fano manifold as explained in Example 2.8. We prove it for the general case.

The following more general result implies Theorem 1.1.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D15, 14J45; Secondary 14B07.

Key words and phrases. deformation theory, Kuranishi space, weak Fano manifolds.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Assume that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and there exists a positive integer m and a smooth divisor $D \in |-mK_X|$ such that $H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}) = 0$. Then deformations of X are unobstructed.*

We use the T^1-lifting technique developed by Deligne, Ran, Kawamata and Fantechi-Manetti. Instead of proving the unobstructedness directly, we first prove the unobstructedness for the pair of a weak Fano manifold X and a smooth element D of $|-mK_X|$ for sufficiently large integer m in Theorem 2.1. Next we show that the unobstructedness for (X, D) implies the unobstructedness for X.

We also show that the Kuranishi space of a smooth projective surface is smooth if the Kodaira dimension of the surface is negative or 0 in Theorem 3.2. It seems to be known to experts but we give a proof for the convenience of readers.

2. Proof of theorem

Let Art_k be the category of Artinian local k-algebras and $Sets$ the category of sets. For a proper variety X and its effective Cartier divisor D, let $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)} \colon Art_k \to Sets$ be a functor such that $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}(A)$ is a set of equivalence classes of proper flat morphisms $f \colon X_A \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ together with effective Cartier divisors $D_A \subset X_A$ and marking isomorphisms $\phi_0 \colon X_A \times_A k \to X$ such that $\phi_0(D_A \times_A k) = D$. This is the pair version of the deformation functor Def_X defined in [6]. We see that $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}$ is a *deformation functor* in the sense of Fantechi–Manetti ([2] introduction).

To prove Theorem 1.2, we prove the following theorem on unobstructedness of deformations of a pair.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a smooth proper variety such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Assume that there exists a smooth divisor $D \in |-mK_X|$ for some positive integer m. Then deformations of (X, D) are unobstructed, that is, $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}$ is a smooth functor.*

Proof. Set $A_n := k[t]/(t^{n+1})$ and $B_n := k[x,y]/(x^{n+1}, y^2) \simeq A_n \otimes_k A_1$. For $[(X_n, D_n), \phi_0] \in \operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}(A_n)$, let $T^1((X_n, D_n)/A_n)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $((Y_n, E_n), \psi_n)$ consisting of deformations (Y_n, E_n) of (X_n, D_n) over B_n and marking isomorphisms $\psi_n \colon Y_n \times_{B_n} A_n \to X_n$ such that $\psi_n(E_n \times_{B_n} A_n) = D_n$, where we use a ring homomorphism $B_n \to A_n$ such that $x \mapsto t, y \mapsto 0$. Then we see the following.

Claim 2.2. We have

$$T^1((X_n, D_n)/A_n) \simeq H^1(X_n, \Theta_{X_n/A_n}(-\log D_n)), \tag{1}$$

where $\Theta_{X_n/A_n}(-\log D_n)$ is the sheaf of relative tangent vectors which are tangent to the Cartier divisor D_n.

Proof. We can prove this by a standard argument (cf. [13, Proposition 3.4.17]) since we use $B_n = A_n \otimes_k A_1$. □

Hence, by [2] Theorem A, it is enough to show that the natural homomorphism

$$\gamma_n \colon H^1(X_n, \Theta_{X_n/A_n}(-\log D_n)) \to H^1(X_{n-1}, \Theta_{X_{n-1}/A_{n-1}}(-\log D_{n-1}))$$

is surjective for the above X_n, D_n and $(X_{n-1} := X_n \times_{A_n} A_{n-1}, D_{n-1} := D_n \times_{A_n} A_{n-1})$.

Note that we have a perfect pairing

$$\Omega^1_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n) \times \Omega^{d-1}_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n) \to \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(K_{X_n/A_n} + D_n) \simeq \omega^{\otimes 1-m}_{X_n/A_n}.$$

We have $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}(K_{X_n/A_n}+D_n) \simeq \omega_{X_n/A_n}^{\otimes 1-m}$ since we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)=0$. Thus we see that

$$H^1(X_n, \Theta_{X_n/A_n}(-\log D_n)) \simeq H^1(X_n, \Omega^{d-1}_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n)\otimes \omega_{X_n/A_n}^{\otimes m-1}).$$

Let

$$\pi_n \colon Z_n := \operatorname{Spec} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_{X_n}(iK_{X_n/A_n}) \to X_n$$

be the finite morphism defined by a section $\sigma_{D_n} \in H^0(X_n, -mK_{X_n/A_n})$ which corresponds to D_n. We have an isomorphism

$$\pi_n^*\Omega^1_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n) \simeq \Omega^1_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)$$

for some divisor $\Delta_n \in |-\pi_n^*K_{X_n/A_n}|$. Hence we see that

$$(\pi_n)_*\Omega^{d-1}_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \Omega^{d-1}_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n)(iK_{X_n/A_n})$$

and $\Omega^{d-1}_{X_n/A_n}(\log D_n)\otimes \omega_{X_n/A_n}^{\otimes m-1}$ is one of the direct summands.

Hence it is enough to show that the natural restriction homomorphism

$$r_n \colon H^1(Z_n, \Omega^{d-1}_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) \to H^1(Z_{n-1}, \Omega^{d-1}_{Z_{n-1}/A_{n-1}}(\log \Delta_{n-1}))$$

is surjective since γ_n is an eigenpart of r_n. We need the following claim.

Claim 2.3. The cohomology group $H^q(Z_n, \Omega^p_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n))$ is a free A_n-module and commutes with base change for any p and q.

Proof. This follows from the following claim.

Claim 2.4. (i) The hypercohomology group $\mathbb{H}^k(Z_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n))$ is a free A_n-module for all k.

(ii) The spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^q(Z_n, \Omega^p_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n))$$

degenerates at E_1.

We can prove this by the same argument as in [1, Théorème 5.5]. We give a proof for the convenience of readers.

(i) We can assume that $k=\mathbb{C}$ by the Lefschetz principle. Set $Z' := Z \setminus \Delta$. Let $\iota \colon Z' \hookrightarrow Z$ be an open immersion. We see that a complex $\Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex $\iota_*\Omega^\bullet_{Z'_n/A_n}$ by a standard argument as in [10, Proposition 4.3]. We have an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^k(Z_n, \iota_*\Omega^\bullet_{Z'_n/A_n}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^k(Z'_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z'_n/A_n})$ since we have $R^i\iota_*\Omega^j_{Z'_n/A_n}=0$ for $i>0$ and all j. Moreover we have $\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z'_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z'_n/A_n}) \simeq H^{p+q}(Z', A_n)$ since $\Omega^\bullet_{Z'_n/A_n}$ is a resolution of a sheaf $A_{n,Z'}$ where $A_{n,Z'}$ be a constant sheaf on Z' associated to A_n (See [1, Lemme 5.3]). Hence we obtain (i) since we have

$$\mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) \simeq H^{p+q}(Z', A_n) \simeq H^{p+q}(Z', \mathbb{C})\otimes A_n.$$

Thus we get $\lg_{A_n} \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) = \lg(A_n)\cdot \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z, \Omega^\bullet_Z(\log \Delta))$, where lg denotes the length.

(ii) By the argument as in [1, (5.5.5)], we see that $\lg_{A_n} H^q(Z, \Omega^p_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) \leq \lg(A_n) \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(Z, \Omega^p_Z(\log \Delta))$ and the equality holds if and only if $H^q(Z, \Omega^p_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n))$ is a free A_n-module. By the spectral sequence, we have

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \lg_{A_n} H^q(Z_n, \Omega^p_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)) \geq \lg_{A_n} \mathbb{H}^k(Z_n, \Omega^\bullet_{Z_n/A_n}(\log \Delta_n)).$$

By the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$\lg(A_n) \cdot \sum_{p+q=k} \dim H^q(Z, \Omega^p_Z(\log \Delta)) \geq \lg(A_n) \cdot \mathbb{H}^k(Z, \Omega^\bullet_Z(\log \Delta)).$$

We have an equality in the above inequality since, by the result of Deligne, the spectral sequence in (ii) degenerates at E_1 when $n = 0$. ☐

By Claim 2.3, we see the required surjectivity. Thus we finished the proof of Theorem 2.1. ☐

Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}) = 0$, we see that the forgetting morphism

$$\mathrm{Def}_{(X,D)} \to \mathrm{Def}_X$$

between functors is smooth. Since $\mathrm{Def}_{(X,D)}$ is smooth by Theorem 2.1, we see that Def_X is also smooth. ☐

Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let X be a weak Fano manifold of dimension d. By the base point free theorem, we can take a sufficiently large integer m such that $-mK_X$ is base point free and contains a smooth element $D \in |-mK_X|$. We have $H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}) = 0$ since there is an exact sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \to H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D/X}) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and both sides are zero by the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem. Hence Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1. ☐

Remark 2.5. We can prove the following theorem by the same argument as Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a complex manifold whose anticanonical bundle is nef and big. Then deformations of X are unobstructed.*

Actually we see that such a complex manifold is Moishezon since there is a big divisor on X. Hence we have the required theorems such as E_1-degeneration of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence and base-point free theorem on X which we need in the proof.

Example 2.7. We give an example of a weak Fano manifold such that $H^2(X, \Theta_X) \neq 0$ where Θ_X is the tangent sheaf.

Let $f \colon X \to \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3)$ be a blow-up of the singular point p of the weighted projective space. We see that $-K_X = -f^*K_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,3)}$ is free and there exists a smooth element $D \in$

$|-K_X|$ such that $p \notin D$. Moreover we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(2)\quad 0 = H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-D)) \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X(-D)) \\ \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)(-D)) \to H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-D)) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-D)) = 0$ follows from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. We see that

$$H^1(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)(-D)) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}(H^1(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^1(D, \Omega^1_D))$$

and the class of $E := f^{-1}(p)$ is contained in the kernel. Hence we have $h^2(X, \Theta_X) = h^1(X, \Omega^1_X(K_X)) \neq 0$.

Thus we need a technique such as T^1-lifting for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Example 2.8. We give an example of a Fano manifold such that the linear systems $|-K_X|$ and $|-2K_X|$ do not contain smooth elements. Our example is a modification of an example in [7, Example 3.2 (3)].

Let $X := X_{5d} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1, 5, d) = \mathbb{P}(1^n, 5, d)$ be a weighted hypersurface of degree $5d$ and dimension n. Assume that $d \not\equiv 0 \mod 5$ and that $5 + n - 4d = 2$. (For example, $d = 6, n = 21$.) The latter condition implies that $-K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(2)$. We see that the base locus of $|-K_X|$ and $|-2K_X|$ consists of a point $p := H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n \cap X_{5d}$, where $H_1, \dots, H_n$ are degree 1 hyperplanes of the first n coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(1^n, 5, d)$. We see that every element of $|-K_X|$ has multiplicity 2 at the base point p and hence singular. We also see that every element of $|-2K_X|$ has multiplicity 4 at the base point p and hence singular.

Example 2.9. We give an example of a smooth projective variety such that Def_X is not smooth and $-K_X$ is big.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth curve with an obstructed embedded deformation which lies in a cubic surface as in [4, Theorem 13.1]. Let $\mu \colon X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be a blow-up along C. Then X has an obstructed deformation. See [4] Example 13.1.1. Note that $-K_X = \mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4) - E$ where $E := \mu^{-1}(C)$ and C is contained in a cubic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Let $\tilde{S} \subset X$ be the strict transform of S. Then we see that $-K_X$ is big since $\tilde{S} + |\mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)| \subset |-K_X|$.

Example 2.10. We give an example of X and $D \in |-K_X|$ such that $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}$ is smooth but Def_X is not smooth.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth curve in a quartic surface S such that the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^3$ is singular at the point corresponding to C (cf. [4, Exercise 13.2]). Let $X \to \mathbb{P}^3$ be a blow-up along C. Then X has an obstructed deformation. However the strict transform $D := \tilde{S} \in |-K_X|$ of S is smooth and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Def}_{(X,D)}$ is smooth by Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.11. We give an example of X with an obstructed deformation such that $-K_X$ is nef.

Set $X := T^m \times \mathbb{P}^1$ where T^m is a complex torus of dimension $m \geq 2$. Then X has an obstructed deformation ([8, p.436–441]). Note that $-K_X$ is nef. It is actually semiample.

Then it is natural to ask the following question.

Problem 2.12. *Let X be a smooth projective variety such that $-K_X$ is nef and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Is the Kuranishi space of X smooth?*

3. Surface case

The following lemma states that a singularity type of the Kuranishi space does not change under a blow-up of a point.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a smooth projective variety and $\nu\colon \tilde{S} \to S$ a blow-up at a point $p \in S$. Then a functor Def_S is smooth if and only if a functor $\mathrm{Def}_{\tilde{S}}$ is smooth.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Def}_{(S,p)}$ be a functor of deformations of a closed immersion $\{p\} \subset S$. There exists a natural transformation

$$\mathrm{Def}_{\tilde{S}} \to \mathrm{Def}_{(S,p)}$$

which is given by pushing forward the structure sheaf of a deformation of $\tilde{S}$ and an ideal sheaf of a deformation of $E := \nu^{-1}(p)$ by ν. We also have a natural transformation $\mathrm{Def}_{(S,p)} \to \mathrm{Def}_{\tilde{S}}$ since we can construct a deformation of $\tilde{S}$ as a blow-up of a deformation of S along a deformation of $\{p\}$. We see that these are converse to each other.

We see that the forgetting morphism $\mathrm{Def}_{(S,p)} \to \mathrm{Def}_S$ is smooth since $H^1(p, \mathcal{N}_{\{p\}/S}) = 0$. Hence we see the equivalence. □

By this lemma, we see that a smooth projective surface has unobstructed deformations if and only if its relatively minimal model has unobstructed deformations.

By using Lemma 3.1, we can prove the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with non-positive Kodaira dimension. Then the deformations of X are unobstructed.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we can assume that X does not contain a -1-curve.

If the Kodaira dimension of X is negative, it is known that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ or $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ for some projective curve C and a rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on C. In these cases, we see that $H^2(X, \Theta_X) = 0$ by the Euler sequence or the argument in [12] p.204.

If the Kodaira dimension of X is zero, it is a $K3$ surface, an Abelian surface or its étale quotient. It is well known that these surfaces have unobstructed deformations. Hence we are done. □

Remark 3.3. Kas [5] gave an example of a smooth projective surface of Kodaira dimension 1 with an obstructed deformation.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Professors Osamu Fujino, Yoshinori Gongyo, Andreas Höring, Yujiro Kawamata, Marco Manetti, Tatsuhiro Minagawa, Yoshinori Namikawa and Hirokazu Nasu for valuable communications.

References

[1] P. Deligne, *Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales*, Inst. Hautes Ètudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 35 1968 259–278.

[2] B. Fantechi, M. Manetti, *On the T^1-lifting theorem*, J. Algebraic Geom. 8 (1999), no. 1, 31–39.

[3] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp.

[4] R. Hartshorne, *Deformation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 257. Springer, New York, 2010. viii+234 pp.

[5] A. Kas, *On obstructions to deformations of complex analytic sufaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 58 1967 402–404.

[6] Y. Kawamata, *Erratum on Unobstructed deformations"*, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), no. 4, 803–804.

[7] Y. Kawamata, *On effective non-vanishing and base-point-freeness*, Kodaira's issue, Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 1, 173181.

[8] K. Kodaira, D.C. Spencer *On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) 67 1958 328–466.

[9] T. Minagawa, *Deformations of weak Fano 3-folds with only terminal singularities*, Osaka J. Math. 38 (2001), no. 3, 533–540.

[10] C. Peters, J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 52. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xiv+470 pp.

[11] Z. Ran, *Deformations of manifolds with torsion or negative canonical bundle*, J. Algebraic Geom. 1 (1992), no. 2, 279–291.

[12] W. Seiler, *Deformations of ruled surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 426 (1992), 203219.

[13] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 334. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. xii+339 pp.

[14] R. Vakil, *Murphy's law in algebraic geometry: badly-behaved deformation spaces*, Invent. Math. 164 (2006), no. 3, 569–590.

Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail address: `T.Sano@warwick.ac.uk`